UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,513	11/21/2006	Tadao Ishibashi	04208.0235	6977
7590 08/08/2008 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett and Dunner 1300 I Street N W			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, CHAD	
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/574,513	ISHIBASHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chad H. Smith	2874		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 15-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 38-49 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15 and 25-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 16-24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examines 10) The drawing(s) filed on 4/30/06 is/are: a) accompany applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to be objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to be objected to by the Examines applicant may not request that any objected to be objected to be objected to be objected to by the Examines applicant may not request the objected to be objected to be objec	r election requirement. r. cepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/16/07,4/18/07,8/28/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/574,513 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers

have been placed of record in the file.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 15 - 37 in the reply filed on 5/29/08 is

acknowledged.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16 - 24, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of

allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose

or render obvious wherein a first semiconductor clad layer is arranged between each of one main

surface and the other main surface of the semiconductor core layer and the second semiconductor

clad layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,513 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anayama et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,799,027).

'027 teaches a semiconductor optoelectronic waveguide comprising: a second semiconductor clad layer arranged on each of one main surface and the other main surface of a semiconductor core layer having electro-optical effects (4 and 6); a pn junction layer arranged on the second semiconductor clad layer laminated on one main surface of the semiconductor core layer wherein the side of the second semiconductor clad layer is a p-type (7b) and the side opposed to the second semiconductor clad layer is an n-type (7a); and a third semiconductor clad layer arranged on the pn junction layer (8) and the second semiconductor clad layer laminated on the other main surface of the semiconductor core layer (3). Furthermore, the functional recitation that "the third semiconductor layer is functioning as an n-type electrode layer" is insufficient to patentable distinguish the claimed apparatus from the apparatus disclosed by (Anayama t al.) because it is narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph, and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

In Re claim 25, '027 teaches wherein the pn junction layer is established for the thickness of each layer and the impurity concentration in such a way that under an operating state of the semiconductor optoelectronic waveguide, the p layer is depleted in a whole range while the n layer is at least partially depleted (col. 24, lines 20 - 24).

In Re claims 26 and 29, '027 teaches wherein the impurity concentration is 1×10^{17} cm⁻³ or greater for the p layer of the pn junction layer and 5×10^{17} cm⁻³ or greater for the n layer (col. 24, lines 20 - 24).

In Re claims 27, 30 and 32, '027 teaches wherein an impurity forming a deep level is doped on the n layer of the pn junction layer, in addition to an n-type impurity (col. 24, lines 29 – 38).

In Re claims 28, 31, 33, and 36, 027 teaches wherein a band gap energy of the n layer of the pn junction layer is smaller than that of the p-layer of the pn junction layer (col. 20, lines 9 – 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 34, 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Anayama et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,799,027) in view of Leon et al. (U.S. Patent # 7,075,165).

'027 teaches the semiconductor optoelectronic waveguide as previously discussed above, but is silent to wherein the impurity having a deep level and doped on the n-layer of the pn junction layer is Fe. However, '165 teaches using iron as an impurity as it produces deep level acceptor states (col. 15, lines 53 – 60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of '027's semiconductor optoelectronic waveguide with '165's teaching of using iron as an impurity as it produces deep level acceptor states.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad H. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 270-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00 am - 4:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 571-270-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,513 Page 6

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chad H Smith/ Examiner, Art Unit 2874

/Sung H. Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2874